CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT. DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES DIVISION

## STAFF REPORT

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMISSION
REDEVELOPMENT REQUEST PUBLIC HEARING

According to Planning \& Development Services Department records, no Commission member resides or has a direct or indirect ownership interest in real property located within 2,000 linear feet of real property contained within the application (measured by a straight line between the nearest points on the property lines). All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

For Public Hearing and Executive Action on April 6, 2022, beginning at 10:00 A.M., Council Chambers, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida.
CASE NO.: 21-51000003 PLAT SHEET: G-8

REQUEST: Approval of a redevelopment plan to allow reconstruction of two (2) dwelling units with an existing duplex to remain.

OWNER: John Johnson
Thomas Yablonski
$2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue North
St. Petersburg FL, 33701
ADDRESS: $\quad 2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue North
PARCEL ID NO.: 18-31-17-23850-002-0100
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lot 10, Block B, R.W. Easley's Addition
ZONING: Neighborhood Traditional Single-Family (NT-2)

## SITE AREA TOTAL: 6,985 square feet or .16 acres

## GROSS FLOOR AREA:

| Existing: | 3,004 square feet | 0.43 F.A.R. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed: | 2,733 square feet | 0.39 F.A.R. * |
| Permitted: | 3,492 square feet | 0.50 F.A.R. |

Permitted:
3,492 square feet
0.50 F.A.R.
*Excluding the 300 square feet of garage space for each unit. Garage exempted from proposed FAR.

## DENSITY:

| Existing: | 4 units | $(25$ units per acre) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permitted: | 2 units | $(15$ units per acre) |
| Proposed: | 4 units | $(25$ units per acre) |

Proposed: 4 units
(25 units per acre) **
*One principal dwelling and one accessory dwelling.
** Redevelopment of a portion of the grandfathered density is the subject of this application.

## BUILDING COVERAGE:

Existing:
1,714 square feet
1,783 square feet
$24 \%$ of Site MOL
Proposed

3,520 square feet
4,292 square feet
4,540 square feet

3,465 square feet
2,693 square feet

1,027square feet 1,950 square feet
$50 \%$ of Site MOL
61\% of Site MOL
$65 \%$ of Site MOL

## OPEN GREEN SPACE:

Existing:
Proposed:
$50 \%$ of Site MOL
$38 \%$ of Site MOL

## PAVING COVERAGE:

Existing: 1,027square feet $15 \%$ of Site MOL

Proposed:
PARKING:
Existing:
Proposed:
4 spaces, including 0 handicapped spaces
Required:
3 spaces, including 0 handicapped space
3 spaces, including 0 handicapped space

## BUILDING HEIGHT:

Existing:
20 feet
Proposed:
22.1 feet
Permitted:
32 feet*
*32-foot building peak heights permitted for Accessory Structures of Redevelopment Plans.

## APPLICATION REVIEW:

I. PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS: The applicant has met and complied with the procedural requirements of Section 16.70.040.1.15 of the Municipal Code to determine compliance with the criteria for Redevelopment.

## II. DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Background: The subject property is zoned NT-2 (Neighborhood Traditional Single-Family) and is located in the Historic Old Northeast Neighborhood. The platted lot has a lot width of 55 -feet, a depth of 127 -feet, and an area of approximately 6,985 square feet. The property is currently developed with three buildings consisting of two detached two-story duplex buildings and one detached garage located in the rear one-third of the lot.

In 2021, a Property Card Interpretation was completed recognizing four dwelling units on the property. This application proposes to redevelop two of the existing dwelling units currently located in the middle building. The middle building and detached garage will be demolished. The proposed structure will consist of two units above a three-car garage located adjacent to the alley, at an area of approximately 1,886 square feet.

The current regulations for the NT-2 zoning district allows one primary dwelling unit and one accessory dwelling unit, on lots with a minimum lot area of 4,500 square feet. In accordance with the Property Card Interpretation, the property's current "multi-family" use is considered grandfathered. Business Tax receipts have been maintained for the property for four (4) dwelling units on the property. The code allows for the redevelopment of grandfathered uses, subject to the Commission's approval of a Redevelopment Plan.

The Request: The applicant seeks approval of a Redevelopment Plan to redevelop two of the four grandfathered units into a new detached duplex over a garage. The plan requests no variances and incorporates no design related bonuses to maximize the required Floor Area Ratio.

Current Proposal: It is the intent of this application to retain the front duplex building while redeveloping the second duplex structure and garage into one two-story garage duplex building.

Redevelopment Criterion: Pursuant to Code Subsection 16.70.040.1.15, an application for redevelopment must be reviewed for compliance with the criterion. An analysis follows, based on the City Code criterion to be considered by the Commission.

1. Building Type. Structures shall be required to match the predominate building type in the block face across the street.

Structures across the street vary between one-story and two-story buildings. The proposed detached building is designed as a two-story structure consistent with the existing front building and structures across the street.
2. Building Setbacks. Structures shall be required to match the predominate building setbacks in the block face across the street.

The proposed building meets the required setbacks for the NT-2 zoning district, which is consistent with the development pattern.
3. Building Scale. Structures shall be required to match the predominate building type, setbacks and scale in the block face across the street.

The existing front building will continue to contribute to the predominance of two-story residential buildings along the street. The newly redeveloped building will be designed consistent with the building type, setbacks, and scale of the existing buildings along the alley.
4. Site Development. Structures shall be required to match the predominate development pattern in the block face across the street. If alley access exists on the proposed site, garages and parking areas shall be designed for alley use.

Vehicular access to the site's garage parking will be provided via the north abutting 16-foot-wide alley. The block face across the street and the neighboring properties have been developed mainly with two-story residential buildings. The proposed structure has been designed to reinforce the existing two-story character of the street and alley.

Building Mass. Building Mass shall be regulated by building setbacks and floor area ratio (FAR). The maximum FAR shall be existing FAR of the property prior to redevelopment or 0.50 FAR, whichever is greater.

The request utilizes Redevelopment Plans allowable base FAR of . 50 .
Between the three existing buildings, this property has an existing FAR of $\underline{0.43}$. The request proposes an FAR of 0.39 . This 0.39 FAR is requested by utilizing the Redevelopment Plans FAR exemption of 300 square feet of garage space for each unit. With a total of four units, the 861 square foot garage becomes exempt from the overall FAR for the site.
5. Building Height. Residential structures for a project less than a city block shall comply with the following building height and roof design requirements: i. The maximum height shall not exceed 24 feet to the eave line and 36 feet to the peak of the roof for primary structures, ii. The maximum height shall not exceed 20 feet to the eave line and 32 feet to the peak of the roof for secondary or accessory structures, iii. The maximum slope of any roof angle shall not exceed 12/12. iv. Dormers shall not exceed 50-percent of any roof surface.

The proposed duplex building will have a building height of 22-feet to the roof peak.
7. Development Across Multiple Lots. Structures shall be separated by zoning district setbacks, however, if not separated by zoning district setbacks, there shall be a break in the building and roof planes at each original lot line which shall be equal to or greater than the combined side yard setbacks that would be required for each lot.

The two-unit redevelopment is subject to and complies with the NT-2 zoning district regulations. This criterion is not applicable to this case as it involves one platted lot.
8. Single Corner Lots. Structures on single corner lots shall be oriented so that the front entrance of the structure faces the legal front yard.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
9. Traditional Grid Roadway Network. For projects equal to or greater than a city block, extensions of the traditional grid roadway network which 1) abut the perimeter of the project area and 2) would be logically extended through the project area shall be required. Compliance with applicable subdivision and public improvement regulations shall be required.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
10. Non-Traditional Grid Roadway Network. For projects equal to or greater than a city block, roadway and pedestrian networks shall meet the following requirements: i. There should be at least two (2) points of entry into the project, ii. Sidewalk connections shall be made to surrounding streets, homes and businesses, iii. Streets shall be stubbed to property lines to allow for roadway extensions into abutting properties which may be developed or are anticipated to be redeveloped in the future.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
11. Density and Intensity. For mobile home park redevelopment, the maximum number of dwelling units shall be equal to the number of legal mobile home spaces(lots) within the park prior to redevelopment, or 140-percent of the maximum density of the future land use designation assigned to the property, whichever is less.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
FAR Bonuses: The criteria for Floor Area Ratio bonuses are set forth under Subsection 16.70.040.1.15.

FAR bonuses shall only be allowed for originally platted lots which have not been joined together. Structures on joined or combined lots (two or more originally platted lots) shall not be allowed FAR bonus and shall be developed following the development across multiple lots criteria indicated in Section 16.70.040.1.15.

In this case, the subject property consists of one platted lot and the request does not include design bonuses.

Public Comments: The subject property is located within the Historic Old Northeast Neighborhood. The Neighborhood Association and all property owners within 300 -feet of the subject property were notified of the request. At the time of completion of this report, Staff has not received comments in support or objection to the request.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Based on a review of the Redevelopment application according to the evaluation criteria contained within the City Code, the Development Services Department Staff recommends APPROVAL of the requested Redevelopment Plan.

CONDITIONS OF COMMISSION ACTION: If the application is approved consistent with the site plan submitted with this application, the Planning and Development Department Staff recommends that the approval shall be subject to the following conditions:

1. The plans submitted for permitting shall substantially resemble the plans attached to this report. Development of the site shall comply with the regulations of the NT-2 zoning district. The roof style and coverings and exterior siding materials shall match between both buildings. Elevations provided for permitting shall feature exposed rafter tails and muntin dividers in the windows. Significant modifications to the plans shall require a new application and public hearing.
2. The site plan submitted for permitting shall depict the locations and setbacks all proposed ancillary equipment such as garbage cans, air conditioning units, and irrigation equipment. These items shall be provided within interior yards and shall be screened with compliant fencing, finished walls or perimeter landscaping.
3. The plans submitted for permitting shall include all necessary information to demonstrate compliance with the landscaping and irrigation requirements set forth under City Code Sections 16.40.060.2.1.3. and 16.40.060.2.1.4., as applicable.
4. This approval shall be valid through April 6, 2025. Substantial construction shall commence prior to this expiration date. The applicant may request up to two two-year extensions from the POD prior to the expiration. Requests for extension must be filed in writing to the POD prior to the expiration date. Approval of this Redevelopment Plan does not grant of imply variances from the City Code or other application regulations.

ATTACHMENTS: Map (Attachment-A), Application (Attachment-B), Survey (Attachment-C), Site Plan (Attachment-D), Floor Plan (Attachment-E), Elevations (Attachment-F), Landscape Plan (Attachment-G), Property Card Interpretation (Attachment-H)

Report Prepared By:

Is/ Shervon Chambliss 3/30/22
Shervon Chambliss, Planner I Date
Development Review Services Division
Planning \& Development Services Department
Report Approved By:
/s/ Dave Goodwin 3/30/22
Dave Goodwin, Interim Zoning Official (POD) Date
Development Review Services Division
Planning \& Economic Development Department

DG/SAC


## Project Location Map

City of St. Petersburg, Florida
Planning and Development Services
Department
Case No.: 22-51000003
Address: $2497^{\text {th }}$ Ave. N. Attachment-A REDEVELOPMENT

Application No.

All applications are to be filled out completely and correctly. The application shall be submitted to the City of St. Petersburg's Development Review Services Division, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Municipal Services Building, One $4^{\text {th }}$ Street North.



## AUTHORIZATION

City Staff and the designated Commission may visit the subject property during review of the requested redevelopment. Any Code violations on the property that are noted during the inspections will be referred to the City's Codes Compliance .Assistance Department.

The applicant, by filing this application, agrees he or she will comply with the decision(s) regarding this application and conform to all conditions of approval. The applicant's signature affirms that all information contained within this application has been completed, and that the applicant understands that processing this application may involve substantial time and expense. Filing an application does not guarantee approval, and denial or withdrawal of an application does not result in remittance of the application fee.

NOTE: IT IS INCUMBENT UPON THE APPLICANT TO SUBMIT CORRECT INFORMATION. ANY MISLEADING, DECEPTIVE, INCOMPLETE, OR INCORRECT INFORMATION MAY INVALIDATE YOUR APPROVAL.


## Printed Name:

John Johnson
*Affidavit to Authorize Agent required, if signed by Agent.

## Pre-Application Meeting Notes

Meeting Date: $10 / 05 / 2021$ Zoning District: NT-2

Address/Location: 249 7th Avenue North

Request: Approval of the redevelopment of four dwelling units into one single-family home with the apartment units in the NT-2 zoning district. Type of Application: Redevelopment Plan Staff Planner for Pre-App: JCB/SAC

Attendees: $\qquad$

Neighborhood and Business Associations within 300 feet:

| Assoc. | Contact Name: | Email: | Phone: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Downtown Residents Civic Assoc. | Karen Carmichael | president@stpetedna.org | 718-288-8044 |
| Hisistoric Ord Nortneast Neighn. Assoc. | John Johnson | Jonnapresident@gmail.com | 917-532-1732 |

(See Public Participation Report in applicable Application Package for CONA and FICO contacts.)

Votes:
PCI 21-41000013 established that four dwelling units were lawfully built on site.
The request is to voluntarily remove ore dwelling unit and redevelope the remaining three units into one single-family residence with two apartment units in a detached building.

Zoning Official affirmed crushed shell is a prohibited parking surface, $65 \%$ is the maximum impervious surface ratio, the property does not have local historic designation but is contributing, photo's of the existing conditions shall be provided, the alley setback is 6 ', a minimum of three parking spaces will be required, landscape plan to be included in submittal, and other development standards subject applicable codes. Applicants indicated that the redevelopment plan FAR regulations will be used. Notices of intent to file shall be provided prior to submittal to CONA, FICO, HONNA, and the Downtown

Residents Civic Association 10 days prior to submittal. Physical submittal requires prior appointment.

REDEVELOPMENT

DATA SHEET

ONLY COMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED.
FAILURE TO COMPLETE THIS FORM WILL RESULT IN DEFERRAL OF YOUR APPLICATION.

| DATA TABLE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Zoning Classification: NT-2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Existing Land Use Type(s): four unit |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Proposed Land Use Type(s): four unit |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Area of Subject Property: 6.985 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Variance(s) Requested:None |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Gross Floor Area (total square feet of building(s)) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Existing: 2677 |  | Sq. ft. |  |  |
|  | Proposed: 4226 |  | Sq. ft. |  |  |
|  | Permitted: |  | Sq. ft. |  |  |
| 7. | Floor Area Ratio (total square feet of building(s) divided by the total square feet of entire site) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Existing: 0.383 |  | Sq. ft. |  |  |
|  | Proposed: 0.482 |  | Sq. ft. (with allowable garage space exduded) |  |  |
|  | Permitted: |  | Sq. ft. |  |  |
| 8 | Building Coverage (first floor square footage of building(s)) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Existing: | 1987 | Sq. ft. | 28.2 | \% of site |
|  | Proposed: | 2401 | Sq. ft. | 34.4 | \% of site |
|  | Permitted: |  | Sq. ft. |  | \% of site |
| 9. | Open Green Space (include all green space on site; do not include any paved areas) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Existing: |  | Sq. ft. |  | \% of site |
|  | Proposed: | 2597 | Sq.f. | 37.3 | \% of site |
| 10. | Interior Green Space of Vehicle Use Area (include all green space within the parking lot and drive lanes) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Existing: |  | Sq. fi. |  | \% of vehicular area |
|  | Proposed: |  | Sq. ft. | 0 | \% of vehicular area |

## REDEVELOPMENT

## DATA SHEET

## DATA TABLE (continued page 2)

 REDEVELOPMENT

All applications for redevelopment must provide justification for the requested redevelopment based on the criteria set forth by the City Code. It is recommended that the following responses by typed. Illegible handwritten responses will not be accepted. A separate letter addressing each of the criteria may be provided as a supplement to this form.

## ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

 REDEVELOPMENT NARRATIVE (PAGE 2)

All applications for redevelopment must provide justification for the requested redevelopment based on the criteria set forth by the City Code. It is recommended that the following responses by typed. Illegible handwritten responses will not be accepted.

## ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

## APPLICANT NARRATIVE

4. Site Development and Orientation. Describe how the proposed site development and orientation (e.g. location of buildings, front entries, driveways, parking, and utility functions) will match the predominate development pattern in the block face across, the street, for projects less than a city block. For projects equal to or greater than one city block, describe how the proposed development pattern for perimeter structures will match the predominate development pattern in the block face across the street. If alley access exists on the proposed site, garages and parking areas shall be designed for alley use.
The project includes the renovation of the house at the front of the fot. By saving this house and doing an extension of the roof line, it should blend in to the neighborhood. This particular block is a mix of single and multi family buildings. The renovation will make this building look more like one of several single families that sit to the front of the lots. The garage will look similar to other garages on the alley, so should blend well, though will be set back further than most of the garages on the same side of the alley on this block.
5. Floor area Ratio Bonuses. FAR bonuses shall anly be allowed for originally platted lots which have not been joined together. Structures on joined or combined lots (two or more originally platted lots) shall not be allowed FAR bonuses. If bonuses are required, please complete the following questions:
a. FAR Bonus of 0.10 - An FAR bonus of 0.10 shall be granted when structures are located in a traditional neighborhood context and designed in a traditional building style as defined by the City's Neighborhood Design Review Manual or the Land Development Regulations. Describe the principle architectural style of the proposed building and explain how it uses the correct proportions, fenestration patterns, details, and materials of the recognized style.
The main house will maintain the craftsman style influences, including the columns, decorative detail on porch and the divided light windows
facing the street. By keeping the current house, we arre maintaining the look and feel of the neighborhood.

|  |
| :---: |
|  |
| b.FAR Bonus of 0.05 - Describe whether the proposed building is finished with decorative wall finishes <br> typical of traditional development. This includes clapboard or single products of real wood "Hardi- <br> Plank" or the equivalent, rough textured or exposed aggregate stucco, tile, brick, or stone. Vinyl or <br> aluminum siding and smooth or knock down stucco shall not qualify for this bonus. <br> Both the house and the second floor of the garage will have clapboard finishes. <br>  <br>  <br>  |

REDEVELOPMENT

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT
in accordance with LDR Section 16.70 .040 . $1 . F$., "it is the policy of the City to encourage applicants to meet with residents of the surrounding neighborhoods prior to filing an application for a decision requiring a streamline review or public hearing. Participation in the public participation process prior to required public hearings will be considered by the decision-making official when considering the need, or request, for a continuance of an application. It is not the intent of this section to require neighborhood meetings, (except when the application is for a local historic district) but to encourage meetings prior to the submission of applications for approval and documentation of efforts which have been made to address any potential concerns prior to the formal application process."
NOTE: This Report may be updated and resubmitted up to 10 days prior to the scheduled Public Hearing.

## APPLICANT REPORT

## Street Address:

1. Details of techniques the applicant used to involve the public
(a)Dates and locations of all meetings where citizens were invited to discuss the applicant's proposal We met with the neighbors on each side of our property, as well as neighbors across the street and across the alley.
(b) Content, dates mailed, and number of mailings; including letters, meeting notices, newsletters, and other publications
(c) Where residents, property owners, and interested parties receiving notices, newsletters, or other written materials are located
2. Summary of concerns, issues, and problems expressed during the process

None were express as we are not seeking any variants and are providing ample parking for the building.

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE

A minimum of ten (10) days prior to filing an application for a decision requiring Streamline or Public Hearing approval, the applicant shall send a copy of the application by email to the Council of Neighborhood Associations (CONA) (c/o Judy Landon at variance@stpetecona.org), by standard mail to Federation of Inner-City Community Organizations (FCO) ('cio Ḱimberíy Frazier-Leggett at 3301 Z4t ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave. S., St. Pete 33712) and by email to all other Neighborhood Associations and/or Business Associations within 300 feet of the subject property as identified in the Pre-Application Meeting Notes. The applicant shall file evidence of such notice with the application.

- Date Notice of Intent to File sent to Associations within 300 feet, CONA and FICO:
$\square$ Attach the evidence of the required notices to this sheet such as Sent emails.

REDEVELOPMENT

## NEIGHBORHOOD WORKSHEET

Aproticants are strongly enourrayeu' to urtain siym ratures in support of the proposan(s) from owmers of property adjacent to or otherwise affected by a particular request.


## John Johnson <iti1sp@gmail_com>

## Redevelopment application

1 message
John Johnson[ititisp@gmail.com](mailto:ititisp@gmail.com)
To: Robin Reed [rireed@tampabay.rr.com](mailto:rireed@tampabay.rr.com)
Robin,
Attached is our redevelopment application which we will be submitting to the city next week. The property is a four family property. We plan to tear down the second building (which has two units) and garage and build a new garage with two units above it at the back of the property, opening up more yard space in the middle for landscaping and a pool. Long term we would like to convert the front building from a two family, to a one family, but that won't happen for a couple of years, given the work ahead and figuring out how to finance the renovation of the main house.

Please let me know if you have any questions.
Many thanks, John

[^0]
## redevelopment application

1 message
John Johnson [john.johnson@nyu.edu](mailto:john.johnson@nyu.edu)
Sun, Nov 28, 2021 at 7:43 PM
Reply-To: john.johnson@nyu.edu
To: president@stpetecona.org
Attached is a copy of the redevelopment application that we will be filing next week. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Many thanks,
John
--
John T Johnson
917-532-1732
john.johnson@nyu.edu

## Redevelopment Application 249 7th 11-3-21.pdf 5927K

Drakes rage [ij4@nyu.edu](mailto:ij4@nyu.edu)

## Redevelopment application <br> 1 message

John Johnson [john.johnson@nyu.edu](mailto:john.johnson@nyu.edu)
Sun, Nov 28, 2021 at 7:45 PM
Reply-To: john.johnson@nyu.edu
To: president@stpetedna.org
Attached is a copy of the redevelopment application that we will be filing next week. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Many thanks,
John
--
John T Johnson
917-532-1732
john.johnson@nyu.edu

Redevelopment Application 249 7th 11-3-21.pdf 5927 K


September 2, 2021
John Johnson \& Tom Yablonski
$2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N
St. Petarsburg, FL 33701
RE: PROPERTY CARD INTERPRETATION: 21-41000013
Property Generally Located At: $\quad 249$ 7th Avenue N
Parcel ID No.: 18-31-17-23850-002-0100
Dear Property Owner:
A Property Card Interpretation letter has been completed for the above-referenced property. The following findings have been made:

## LEGAL NUMBER OF DWELLING UNTTS

1. The property is zoned NT-2 (Neighborhood Traditional Single-Family), which allows one single-family home on the subject property and one accessory dwelling unit in compliance with the land development regulations. The City will recognize more than one (1) dwelling unit on the property if the units were legally constructed.
2. The property was first developed under the address $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N , which referred to the rear residential building and attached garage. The front dwelling was constructed under the address $2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N , which later became the only address for the lot in Clity recorods.
3. According to the City's property card record for 249 7th Avenue $\mathbf{N}$ (covering the construction history of the property from the inception of the property card through 1987), Lot 10, Block B of the R.W. Easley's Addition subdivision, a $11 / 2$-story frame duplex was constructed on the property. That description matches the front building. See attached property card.
4. The property card also notes a two-story frame garage apartment and two-car garage on the property, which matches the description of the rear building with the address of $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N . Two electrical meters were installed at this building on or before 10/3/49. The exact permit number and issuance date are illegible, however are presumed to have been issued before the next permit in the list, which was issued on 10/3/49, which was one electrical meter for the dwelling at $2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N.
5. The Pinellas County Property Appraiser's card for the site shows a two-story, 1,708 square foot building and detached garage at the address $2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N with two living units, and a two-story, 91:2 square foot building at the address $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N also with two living units.
6. The City's permit tracking software Naviline was searched for building permits issued between 1988 to present for 249 7th Avenue N. Several roofing permits have been P.O. Box 2842

St. Petersburg, FL 33731-2842
T: 727-893-7111
issued since 2004, and a permit for electrical meter and panel work at all four units was completed under Permit \#21-5000733. The address $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N has no records in Naviline.
7. Business tax records associated with the subject property show that between 2004 and 2020, a business tax license was maintained for a total of four (4) units.
8. The applicant has submitted floor plans of the units showing four dwelling units, each with a kitchen and bathroom.
9. Accordingly, the interpretation can be made that four (4) dwelling units were legally constructed on the property.

## Appeal

If you have evidence that the findings of this interpretation are incorrect, you may submit a reconsideration request within 15 days of the receipt of this letter.

An appeal must be filed within 10 days following delivery of the reconsideration. Appeals are heard before the Development Review Commission. An appeal is a statement on your part that you do not believe that the findings of this determination are correct and that you have evidence to refute the finding. For a reconsideration or appeal, you must submit a cover letter and whatever evidence is referenced in your letter.

The 30-day deadline does not apply to applications for reinstatement. However, since you have a code violation on your property, filing of an application for an appeal in a timely manner is important and requires your immediate attention.

This determination is effective as of the date of this letter and is subject to change upon any future amendrnent to the Land Development Regulations. Future development on the subject parcel shall be subject to all applicable codes at time of permitting; including, but not limited to, Land Development Regulations and Building and Life Safety Codes.

If you have questions about the appeal process, please feel free to contact the Clerk at (727) 892-5498.


Ahtrachmerts: City Property Cand, Pimeliras County Property Card, Building Permit History, Code Enforcement History, Business Tax License History, Application
cc: Scot Bolyard, AICP, Deputy Zoning Official
Joseph Waugh, Codes Compliance Operations Manager
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## 249 7TH AVENUE N St. PETERSBURG

## LEGEND

## PLANTS

## Palms

1. Alexander Palm
2. Foxtail Palm
3. Areca Palm
4. Adonidia Palm
5. Pygmy Date Palm

## Shrubs

6. False Aralia
7. Arboricola
8. Variegated Arboricola
9. Dwarf Firebush
10. Nora Grant Ixora
11. Dwarf Clusia

## Ground Covers

12. Dwarf Pineapple
13. Kimberley Queen Fern
14. Wart Fern
15. Burle-Marx

Philodendron

Ptychosperma elegans
Wodyetia bifurcata
Dypsis lutescens
Adonidia merrillii
Phoeenix roebelenii

Sche:fflera elegantissima
Schefflera arboricola
Schefflera arboricola "Trinette"
Hamelia patens "Compacta"
Ixora coccinia "Nora Grant"
Clusiia rosea "Nana"

Ananus nanus
Nephrolepis obliterata
Zealandia pustulata
Philodendron burle-marxii


September 2, 2021
John Johnson \& Tom Yablonski
$2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

## RE: PROPERTY CARD INTERPRETATION: 21-41000013

Property Generally Located At: 249 7th Avenue N
Parcel ID No.:
18-31-17-23850-002-0100
Dear Property Owner:
A Property Card Interpretation letter has been completed for the above-referenced property. The following findings have been made:

## LEGAL NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS

1. The property is zoned NT-2 (Neighborhood Traditional Single-Family), which allows one single-family home on the subject property and one accessory dwelling unit in compliance with the land development regulations. The City will recognize more than one (1) dwelling unit on the property if the units were legally constructed.
2. The property was first developed under the address $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N , which referred to the rear residential building and attached garage. The front dwelling was constructed under the address $2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N , which later became the only address for the lot in City records.
3. According to the City's property card record for 249 7th Avenue N (covering the construction history of the property from the inception of the property card through 1987), Lot 10, Block B of the R.W. Easley's Addition subdivision, a $11 / 2$-story frame duplex was constructed on the property. That description matches the front building. See attached property card.
4. The property card also notes a two-story frame garage apartment and two-car garage on the property, which matches the description of the rear building with the address of $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N. Two electrical meters were installed at this building on or before $10 / 3 / 49$. The exact permit number and issuance date are illegible, however are presumed to have been issued before the next permit in the list, which was issued on $10 / 3 / 49$, which was one electrical meter for the dwelling at $2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N .
5. The Pinellas County Property Appraiser's card for the site shows a two-story, 1,708 square foot building and detached garage at the address $2497^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N with two living units, and a two-story, 912 square foot building at the address $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N also with two living units.
6. The City's permit tracking software Naviline was searched for building permits issued between 1988 to present for 249 7th Avenue N. Several roofing permits have been P.O. Box 2842
issued since 2004, and a permit for electrical meter and panel work at all four units was completed under Permit \#21-5000733. The address $2517^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N has no records in Naviline.
7. Business tax records associated with the subject property show that between 2004 and 2020, a business tax license was maintained for a total of four (4) units.
8. The applicant has submitted floor plans of the units showing four dwelling units, each with a kitchen and bathroom.
9. Accordingly, the interpretation can be made that four (4) dwelling units were legally constructed on the property.

## Appeal

If you have evidence that the findings of this interpretation are incorrect, you may submit a reconsideration request within 15 days of the receipt of this letter.

An appeal must be filed within 10 days following delivery of the reconsideration. Appeals are heard before the Development Review Commission. An appeal is a statement on your part that you do not believe that the findings of this determination are correct and that you have evidence to refute the finding. For a reconsideration or appeal, you must submit a cover letter and whatever evidence is referenced in your letter.

The 30-day deadline does not apply to applications for reinstatement. However, since you have a code violation on your property, filing of an application for an appeal in a timely manner is important and requires your immediate attention.

This determination is effective as of the date of this letter and is subject to change upon any future amendment to the Land Development Regulations. Future development on the subject parcel shall be subject to all applicable codes at time of permitting; including, but not limited to, Land Development Regulations and Building and Life Safety Codes.

If you have questions about the appeal process, please feel free to contact the Clerk at (727) 892-5498.


Attachments: City Property Card, Pinellas County Property Card, Building Permit History, Code Enforcement History, Business Tax License History, Application
cc: Scot Bolyard, AICP, Deputy Zoning Official Joseph Waugh, Codes Compliance Operations Manager
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Map Id: $\quad 3004.11 .001 .001 .00 \mathrm{AREA}=30 ; \mathrm{NEB}=04$;

249 7TH AVE N, ST PETERSBURG 33701 -

| BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUALITY | Average |  |
| CATEGORY | TYPE | \% PTS |
| FOUNDATIO | 1PIERS | 1003.00 |
| FLOOR | 3WOOD | 10012.0 |
| EXTERIOR | 2FRAME - | 10023.0 |
| ROOF | 1gable or | 1006.00 |
| ROOF | 3SHINGLE | 1005.00 |
| FLOOR | 2CARPET/ | 1005.00 |
| INTERIOR | 2DRYWALL/PLA | 10033.0 |
| heating | 1UNIT/SPACE/ | 1001.00 |
| COOLING | NONE | 1000.00 |
| CATEGORY |  | UNITS |
| STORIES |  | 2.00 |
| FIXTURES <br> LIVING UNITS |  | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2.00 |
| TOTAL LIVING UNITS |  | ADJ |
| EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE |  | 0.0000 |
| NEIGHBORHOOD |  | 0.0000 |
| EXTERNAL OBSOLESCEENCE |  | 0.0000 |
| TYPE | QU | HXNHKX |
| 03 | 02 | 0.00\% |
| RCND | YB | EA |
| 18853 | 1925 | 58 |
| SAR | AREA \% B | EFF. AREA |

0820 Duplex-Triplex-Fourplex



Appralser Offlee
VALUE SUMMARY

| Pinellas County Property Appralser OfflesVALUE SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRIOR JUST MARKET VALUE |  |  |  | 440,525 |
| CURRENT JUST MARIKET VALUE |  |  |  | 492,200 |
| ASSESSED VALUE |  |  |  | 484,578 |
| HX/NHX CAP BASE YEAR |  |  |  | 0 |
| TAXABLE VALUE |  |  |  | 484,578 |
| HX |  |  |  | No |
| \% HX |  |  |  | 0.00 |
| TOT EXEMPTIONS VALUE |  |  |  | 0 |
| PERMIT | TP | ST. | EST VAL | ISSUE DATE |
| 21-05000733 | 86 | v | 4,305 | 05/11/21 |
| 16-10000064 | 95 | v | 3,100 | 10/03/16 |
| 05-11000646 | 96 | c | 3,154 | 12/07/05 |
| 05-11000633 | 96 | C | 3,155 | 12/07/05 |
| 05-9000145 | 96 | C | 9464 | 10/21/05 |
| 04-10001528 | 96 | C | 800 | 11/15/0 |
|  | BUIL | DING |  |  |

2005 SOME WINDOWS MOD


## PCI 21-41000013

249 7th Avenue N
Building Permit History (1988 to Present)
$\left.\begin{array}{|r|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Year } & \text { Number } & \text { Type } & \text { Status } & \text { Date } & \text { Number } & \text { Name } & \\ \hline 21 & 8000182 & \text { ARES } & \text { VO } & 08 / 03 / 202 & & & \\ \hline 21 & 5000733 & \text { ELEC } & \text { CL } & 05 / 11 / 202 & & \text { UNIT 1 } & \\ \hline 16 & 10000064 & \text { OACE } & \text { CL } & 10 / 03 / 201 & & 1 & \text { Adrian }\end{array}\right]$

## PCI 21-41000013

## 249 7th Avenue N <br> Code Enforcement History

| Number | Status | Date | Insp | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2100015573 | CASE CLOSED | $06 / 29 / 2021$ | OSS | SEARCH FOR ACTIVE VIOLATIONS |
| 2100008580 | CASE CLOSED | $04 / 16 / 2021$ | OSS | SEARCH FOR ACTIVE VIOLATIONS |
| 1800012589 | CASE CLOSED | $05 / 24 / 2018$ | TM | PROPERTY MAINTENANCE |
| 1400022575 | CASE CLOSED | $10 / 24 / 2014$ | AJ | PROPERTY MAINTENANCE |
| 0800001951 | CASE CLOSED | $02 / 06 / 2008$ | TG | PROPERTY MAINTENANCE |
| 0500018806 | CASE CLOSED | $09 / 01 / 2005$ | TG | PERMIT(S) |
| 0500013992 | CASE CLOSED | $07 / 22 / 2005$ | OSS | RCC - CODE VIOLATION NOT FOUND |
| 0500000809 | CASE CLOSED | $01 / 13 / 2005$ | TG | PROPERTY MAINTENANCE |
| 0400020282 | CASE CLOSED | $10 / 07 / 2004$ | MFB | PROPERTY MAINTENANCE |
| 0400012967 | CASE CLOSED | $06 / 04 / 2004$ | MFB | PROPERTY MAINTENANCE |
| 9900021296 | CASE CLOSED | $09 / 23 / 1999$ | MFB | PROPERTY MAINTENANCE |


| Year | License Number | Classification | Status |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | FIRST RENEWAL MAILED |
| 20 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 19 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 18 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 17 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 16 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 15 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 14 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 13 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 12 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 11 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 10 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 9 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 8 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 7 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 6 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 5 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |
| 4 | 1573 | TAXED BY DWELLING UNITS | RENEWED |

PCI 21-41000013
249 7th Avenue N
Business Tax License History Detail (2020)


PCI 21-41000013
249 7th Avenue N
Business Tax License History Detail (2004)



## PROPERTY CARD INTERPRETATION (PCI)

 RECEIVEDApplication No.

 correctly. Applications are submitted to the City of St. Petersburg's Development Review Services Division, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Municipal Services Building, One Fourth Street North.


The fee for a property card interpretation application: $\$ 60.00$ ( $1^{\text {st }}$ hour of research, plus $\$ 50.00$ per hour thereafter) Cash, credit, checks made payable to "City of St. Petersburg"

## AUTHORIZATION

As owner of the subject property, I understand that a property card interpretation may have serious implications addressing the legality of my property. If my opinion differs from that of the $\mathrm{PCI}, \mathrm{I}$ also understand that I have 15-days upon receipt of the PCl to request reconsideration, and 10-days upon receipt of the subsequent reconsideration to submit an appeal of the decision, which will be heard before the Development Review Commission. If the PCI indicates abandoned grandfathered dwelling units, then I may apply for reinstatement.

Signature of Owner / Agent*: Gohn Gohnson
*Affidavit to Authorize Agent required, if signedsy Agent.

City of St. Petersburg - One $4^{\text {th }}$ Street North - PO Box 2842 - St. Petersburg, FL 33731-2842 - (727) 893-7471
wuw. stpete.org/ldr
Page 1 of 3

PROPERTY CARD INTERPRETATION (PCI) NARRATIVE and CHECKLIST

## ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

## APPLICANT NARRATIVE



Notice: A request for reconsideration must be filed within 15 -days following delivery of the PCI to the property owner. An appeal must be filed by the property owner within 10 -days following delivery of the subsequent reconsideration. Appeals are heard before the Development Review Commission. If the PCI indicates abandoned grandfathered dwelling units, then the property owner may apply for reinstatement.



Front view 249
$7^{\text {th }}$ Ave $N$
Entry to both
units on porch


The front
doors to the
two units of


View of original
sidewalks going
to 251 and 249
$7^{\text {th }}$ Ave N .


View of $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ from front sidewalk


View of $2517^{\text {th }}$ Ave $N$ front entrances to both units. Garage is white building to the right of $2517^{\text {th }}$ Ave.

249 7th Ave N Unit 1
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